



Exam, message queues, notifications, & step functions

COSC349—Cloud Computing Architecture
David Eysers

COSC349 Exam

- There are now six past papers available...
 - **Three hours; 100 marks** across **8 questions**; answer all questions
 - **In-person** exam
- The 8 questions relate to different topic areas
 - Expect some alignment with the lecture structure
 - Each question has parts, and potentially subparts:
 - lots of small questions & no “Cloud computing. Explain. (20 marks)”s
- Exam is on lecture material, not labs, or assignments

Answering COSC349 exam questions

- Marking approach predictable from **marks allocated**:
 - “Describe three reasons for... (6)” —assume 2 marks per reason
- Structuring answers as bullet points rather than prose is OK provided that the linking to the question is clear
- Suggest you plan to **do multiple passes** through exam
 - Answer questions you’re comfortable with first
 - Some questions are intended to be more challenging

Studying for COSC349

- Ensure you can answer the questions in the learning objectives presented at the start of each lecture
 - This should help highlight the key, core concepts
 - Some of the more detailed lecture material is provided for completeness, to provide context and for those interested
 - (... but some of it is very technically detailed)
- Come to tutorials if you're unsure about your answers to given learning objectives
 - I'm very keen to help, but I can't form your answers for you

Learning objectives

- Understand that cloud applications will usually be built from **many different software components & services**
- Describe the role in building cloud applications of:
 - **Notification services**, e.g., Amazon's Simple Notification Service
 - **Message queues**, e.g., Amazon's Simple Queueing Service
- Illustrate how Amazon Step Functions provide support for **distributed transactions** in cloud applications

Cloud plumbing

- Ideally software components are **specialised**
 - Facilitates effective **separation of concerns**
 - Allows for broadest possible **reuse potential**
 - Scalability and elasticity can focus on **specific functionality**
- But applications need **interconnected components**
 - Want to avoid hard-coding component interactions
 - Interconnections are good **monitoring, logging, & audit** points
 - Often can use discrete messages rather than data streams

Component interaction queuing examples

- Workloads we've seen: **synchronous, 1–1 interactions**
 - Web + DB—web request initiates DB query; render DB response
 - S3 + Lambda—react when a particular bucket is changed
- Two different, useful **types of decoupling** are:
- ❶ have 1–n, e.g., **allocate jobs to a pool of workers**
- ❷ have **disconnected targets**, e.g., onsite database
 - e.g., ensure that retry can occur, but is not sender's problem
 - Even within cloud services, batching can boost performance

Message queues and notification services

- **Notification services** and **message queues** factor out interconnection needs between software components
- Notification services—e.g., **publish/subscribe paradigm**
 - Publishers **publish** messages on particular topics
 - Subscribers **subscribe** to those topics
- Message queues typically focused on **reliable delivery**
 - Temporary storage of messages is the focus

Message queues: key features

- Common case functionality of message queues is easy
 - Just a **buffer** between producer(s) and consumer(s)
 - ... but buffer needs **persistence; high throughput; low latency**
 - (These requirements usually trade off against each other.)
- Message queues often provide further functionality
 - **Asynchronous delivery**—receiver need not be online
 - When sending, producer needs not consider receiver's status
 - **Reliable delivery**—retry after failures
 - **Dead letter queue (DLQ)**—messages go here after max. retries

Amazon Simple Queueing Service (SQS)

- SQS—decouples two applications
 - Producer **pushes** messages into a queue
 - Consumer **pulls** messages from the queue
 - Push/pull is analogous to pipes in Unix / WinNT OS kernels
 - Messages are **stored** for up to 14 days
- Two queue types, for 64KB ‘chunks’ (US-East-1 pricing)
 - **Standard**—may: deliver duplicates; out of order (\$0.40/mil)
 - **FIFO**—no duplicates; first-in-first-out order; slower (\$0.50/mil)

Amazon Simple Notification Service (SNS)

- SNS is a **topic-based publish-subscribe** system
 - Has multiple subscriber types:
 - **High-speed**: SQS; HTTP(S) POST to web-hooks; AWS Lambda
 - **Mobile**: email; SMS; iOS+Android push notifications
 - Fan out to multiple interested subscribers
- Subscribers can **set filters** on notifications
 - e.g., prefix matching on names; range matching on attributes
 - (SNS can then pass filtering close to source, which is optimal)
 - Topics can be set to deliver raw data: payload without JSON

Amazon Step Functions

- What if you want to use a **workflow with time delays**?
 - e.g., notify owner one month after they upload an S3 object
 - SQS / SNS can't help directly: don't support app-level timing
 - Can't usefully use Lambda, as function **would run for a month!**
- Amazon Step Functions handle this type of use case:
 - **Track states of execution**—you're only charged for transitions
 - Steps in parallel; serial; conditional; relative/absolute delay,...
 - Easy Lambda support (doesn't work in AWS Academy: why?)

Distributed transactions

- Typical monolithic application design is **DB-backed**
 - Databases usefully support transactions
 - However databases are also a common bottleneck in designs
- **Saga pattern** is a common micro-services alternative
 - (Actually published in 1987 by Garcia-Molina and Salem)
 - Saga is sequence of tasks that are in ‘transaction’
 - Each task must have a compensating action—an ‘undo’
 - These must be **idempotent**: saga rewind might need rerunning
 - Amazon Step Functions can orchestrate saga implementation